18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	MICHAEL D. BRUNO (SBN: 166805)								
2	ALYSON S. CABRERA (SBN: 222717) GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP								
2	315 Pacific Avenue								
3	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 986-5900								
4	Facsimile: (415) 986-8054								
5	mbruno@grsm.com acabrera@grsm.com								
6	Attorneys for Defendant								
7	STANFORD HEALTH CARE								
8	LINUTED STATE								
9	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT							
-	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10									
11	DOE #1400,) CASE NO.							
12	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION UNDER 28 H.S.C. \$1441(B) (FEDERAL							
13	VS.) UNDER 28 U.S.C. §1441(B) (FEDERAL QUESTION)							
14	STANFORD HEALTH CARE, and DOES)							
15	1-50, inclusive,	,)							
1.0	Defendants.								
16)							
17		<u>-</u> ′							

TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant STANFORD HEALTH CARE ("Defendant" or "SHC") hereby removes to this Court the state court action described below.

- 1. On September 3, 2024, Pro Se Plaintiff commenced an action in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Samta Clara, entitled *Doe #1400 v. Stanford Health Care, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,* Case No. 24CV446393 (hereinafter "the Action") by filing a "complaint for preliminary and permanent injunctions and for damages."
- 2. On November 4, 2024, Pro Se Plaintiff filed a "first amended complaint to withdraw motions for injunctions, prayer for relief under unruh civil rights act, and for damages."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	3.	On November	15, 2024	, Pro Se	Plaintiff	filed a	an "ex	parte	order	to strike	e firs
amen	dment,	replace with cor	rected firs	t amend	ment, and	l exten	d time	to ser	ve to N	Novemb	er 25
2024	," which	the court grante	ed.								

- On November 20, 2024, Pro Se Plaintiff filed a "corrected first amended 4. complaint for money damages and civil penalties under the unruh civil rights act" ('the operative complaint").
- 5. A copy of Pro Se Plaintiff's summons and complaints, including the operative complaint, are attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
- Pro Se Plaintiff served SHC with the documents at Exhibit A on November 21, 2024. The operative complaint (at ¶4) alleges that "SHC is required to abide by the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973." The operative complaint asserts a First Cause of Action for "Refusal to grant reasonable accommodations, which is a violation of all of the aforementioned State and Federal anti-discrimination legislation." The operative complaint (at ¶12) alleges "violation of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act."
- 7. Defendant has filed a general denial to the operative complaint in Santa Clara County Superior Court. A copy is attached here to as **Exhibit B.**
- 8. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(3), this Notice of Removal is being filed within thirty (30) days from service.
- This action is a civil action of which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 9. U.S.C. §1331, and is one which may be removed to this Court by Defendant pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1441(b) in that it arises under federal law pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.
- 10. Accordingly, this Action presents claims arising under the laws of the United States, thereby conferring jurisdiction on this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 11. In addition to jurisdiction over any of Plaintiff's claims under federal law, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over any of Plaintiff's related state law claims. Specifically, 28 U.S.C. §1367 confers jurisdiction to this Court over all claims which form part of the same case or controversy as the claim(s) over which the Court has original jurisdiction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

-	12.	Plaintiff's supplemental state law claims in the complaint stem from the same
common	n set o	f alleged facts and circumstances involving the alleged ADA and Rehabilitation
Act viol	ations	

- 13. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(2) because this Action is currently pending in the State of California, County of Santa Clara, which is the same venue for this Court.
- 14. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), a copy of this Notice of Removal, without exhibits, will be promptly served on Plaintiff's counsel.
- 15. A copy of this Notice of Removal, without exhibits, will also be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Santa Clara.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully removes this Action from the Superior Court of Santa Clara County to this Court, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1441.

Dated: December 23, 2024 GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP

> By: /s/ Michael D. Bruno Michael D. Bruno Alyson S. Cabrera Attorneys for Defendant STANFORD HEALTH CARE